Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Graeme J. Gainsford,* Andrew J. Kay and A. D. Woolhouse

Industrial Research Limited, PO Box 31-310, Lower Hutt, New Zealand

Correspondence e-mail: g.gainsford@irl.cri.nz

Key indicators

Single-crystal synchrotron study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.025 wR factor = 0.071 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-4-{4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1-[1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-pyridin-4(1*H*)-ylidene]-1*H*-inden-3-yl}pyridinium iodide

The structure of the title compound, $C_{23}H_{27}N_2O_2^+\cdot I^-$, was determined from a 0.14 \times 0.10 \times 0.003 mm crystal using synchrotron X-radiation. The cation charge is delocalized and there is strong $O-H\cdot\cdot\cdot I^-$ intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

Received 17 March 2003 Accepted 25 March 2003 Online 31 March 2003

Comment

The title compound, (I), was prepared as part of a study into the use of zwitterionic merocyanines as potential non-linear optical (NLO) chromophores (Kay et al., 2001). Initial singlecrystal and powder diffraction studies using conventional X-ray sources showed that the larger crystals suffered from both twinning and different hydration states. Access to the X9B beamline at the National Synchrotron Light Source gave sufficient data with a crystallite $0.14 \times 0.10 \times 0.003$ mm, leading to the ordered structure reported here. The crystal contains independent $C_{23}H_{27}N_2O_2^+$ cations (Fig. 1) linked in pairs about inversion centres by hydrogen bonds ($O-H \cdots I^{-}$, Table 2). Similar hydroxyethyl $O-H \cdots I^-$ interactions have been found in the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen, 2002) by use of Conquest (Bruno et al., 2002), in entries QIGHET, a zwitterionic cyanine dye (Lacroix et al., 2001), NUYDOA (Grobosch et al., 1998) and ABILIG (Wang et al., 2001). The pairs form a 'herring-bone' pattern along the b axis, with the normals to the cation molecular planes approximately in the [101] and $[\overline{1}01]$ directions.



The bond distances illustrate both self-consistency (*e.g.* C8–C16 and C10–C21 in Table 1) and the molecule delocalization, spreading the overall positive charge, *e.g.* C8–C9 = 1.412 (5) Å and C9–C13 = 1.403 (5) Å. The main molecular fragment excluding the hydroxyethyl and atoms C1/C2/C17/C18/C19 is approximately planar, with an r.m.s. displacement of 0.023 (3) Å. The two pyridine rings are subtly different, with their mean planes inclined at 5.6 (2) and 1.5 (2)° (for the C3 and C13 rings, respectively) to the planar central fivemembered ring (atoms C8/C9/C10/C21/C16). Likewise, there is a small difference in the dihedral angles within the hydroxyethyl groups, with N–C–C–O(H) values of 70.7 (4) and -59.1 (4)°. Such deviations are consistent with the observed intermoleculer hydrogen bonding.

Experimental

The compound was prepared as previously described [compound 29a in Kay et al. (2001)].

 $D_x = 1.573 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

reflections

 $\mu = 3.03 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 (2) K

Plate, black

 $\theta=3.8{-}22.5^\circ$

Cell parameters from 340

 $0.14 \times 0.10 \times 0.003 \text{ mm}$

+ 2.8457P]

Synchrotron radiation, $\lambda = 0.9204$ Å

Crystal data

 $C_{23}H_{27}N_2O_2^+ \cdot I^ M_r = 490.37$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 9.0520 (18) Åb = 24.134(5) Å c = 9.5330(19) Å $\beta = 96.22 (3)^{\circ}$ $V = 2070.3 (7) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

2191 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ Quantum4 CCD detector diffractometer $\theta_{\rm max} = 29.0^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$ φ scans $k = 0 \rightarrow 25$ Absorption correction: none $l = -10 \rightarrow 9$ 2300 measured reflections 2300 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.025P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$ wR(F²) = 0.071 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.51 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ S = 1.062300 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.58 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 262 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C7	1.412 (5)	C8-C9	1.412 (5)
N1-C1	1.342 (4)	C8-C16	1.464 (4)
N1-C6	1.484 (4)	C9-C10	1.403 (5)
C1-C2	1.363 (5)	C10-C21	1.470 (4)
C2-C3	1.420 (4)	C16-C21	1.364 (5)
C3-C8	1.420 (4)	C17-C18	1.532 (5)
C10-C9-C8	109.7 (3)	C10-C21-C20	128.3 (3)
C4-C3-C8-C9	-6.4 (5)	C3-C8-C16-C17	-9.2 (5)
C21-C10-C13-C14	0.9 (5)	C17-C16-C21-C20	6.5 (5)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$02-H2O\cdots I1^{i}$	0.82 (4)	2.69 (5)	3.459 (3)	158 (2)
$O1-H1O\cdots I1^{ii}$	0.76 (4)	2.76 (5)	3.517 (3)	173 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z$; (ii) $x - 1, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$.



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I) (Farrugia, 1997). Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are of arbitrary radii.

It was not possible to carry out an analytical absorption correction, as the crystal was not uniform or accurately measurable, and no empirical correction method was available for this experimental arrangement. H atoms on O1, O2 and C9 were refined freely, while others were constrained to geometrically calculated positions, riding on their parent atoms. For all H atoms, $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$ of the parent atom.

Data collection: DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); cell refinement: DENZO; data reduction: DENZO; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

We thank Dr J. Hanson of the Brookhaven National Laboratory, Long Island, New York, for his assistance, and the National Synchrotron Light Source for financial support.

References

Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.

- Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Edgington, P. R., Kessler, M., Macrae, C. F., McCabe, P., Pearson, J. & Taylor, R. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 389-397.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Grobosch, T., Sawusch, S., Schilde, U. & Uhlemann, E. (1998). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 213, 173-174.
- Kay, A. J., Woolhouse, A. D., Gainsford, G. J., Haskell, T. G., Wyss, C. P., Giffin, S. M., McKinnie, I. T. & Barnes, T. H. (2001). J. Mater. Chem. 11, 2271-2281.
- Lacroix, P. G., Lepetit, C. & Daran, J. C. (2001). New J. Chem. 25, 451-457.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wang, X., Wang, C., Yu, W., Zhou, Y., Zhao, X., Fang, Q. & Jiang, M. (2001). Can. J. Chem. 79, 174-182.